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#### Abstract

Erythrinarbine 2, a novel alkaloid was isolated from the stem of Erythrina arborescens Roxb. Its structure and stereochemistry were elucidated on the basis of the spectral analysis $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ COSY, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, NOESY and HMBC).


Keywords: Erythrina arborescens; erythrinarbine; nor-A ring erythrina alkaloid.

Erythrina arborescens Roxb. belongs to Erythrina genus of Papilionaceae family plants, and is widely distributed in tropical and subtropical regions of the world, as well as in the south and south-west of China ${ }^{1}$. As a Chinese folk medicine, its root and stem are used to treat rheumatism and dysentery ${ }^{2}$. The Erythrina alkaloids, normally a $\mathrm{C}_{16}$ tetracyclic spiroamine system, erythrinane 1, are the characteristic constituents in Erythrina genus and about 100 erythrina alkaloids have been so far reported from this genus plants ${ }^{3-7}$. Most of these Erythrina alkaloids have a tetrahydroisoquinoline or hexahydroindolizine skeleton. In the present paper, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of a nor-A ring erythrina alkaloid, a new alkaloid-erythrinarbine $\mathbf{2}$ from the stem of Erythrina arborescens.

Erythrinarbine 2 was obtained as white plate crystals ( MeOH ) from the extract of $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{mp} .193-194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, optically inactive. The molecular weight was indicated as $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ by HR-EI mass spectroscopy, which gave the $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 233.1051$ (calcd. 233.1052). The presence of hydroxyl and carbonyl of amide were confirmed by a broad absorption at $3440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a strong absorption at $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum. The down field carbon signal at $\delta 173.36$ in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR confirmed the presence of the carbonyl of amide too. Six signals at $\delta 110.59,110.97,124.77,130.06,144.67$ and 145.57 in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR showed presence of a benzene ring. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{2}$ exhibited two para signals in the aromatic region at $\delta 6.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, which are related with carbon signals $\delta$ 110.97 and 110.59 in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, respectively. The carbon signal $\delta 55.97$, related with $\delta 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, is a methoxyl group $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$ at the benzene ring. Another signal in middle field, $\delta 56.54$, related with $\delta 4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7.6)$ in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, which was coupled with $\delta 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, showed the presence of the moiety of ph-CH $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. Four carbon signals $\delta 27.63,31.75,37.20$ and 28.12, related with four pairs of protons, eight signals $\delta 2.58,1.82 ; 2.60,2.48 ; 4.28,3.03$ and 2.88 , 2.68 in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, respectively, showed the presence of four methylene $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \times 4$
moieties. Among them, the carbon signal $\delta 37.20$, related with $\delta 4.28$ and 3.03 in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, showed the presence of the moiety of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. The above data showed a four substituted benzene ring, with two para-aromatic protons, a methoxyl and a hydroxyl groups on the one side in ortho position, and the other in ortho position was connected with a saturated cyclic system. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY showed two groups of aliphatic proton signals which have no relation each other. One is $\delta 4.28,3.03,2.68$ and 2.88 , and the other is $\delta 4.69,2.58,1.82,2.60$ and 2.48. According to above data, we proposed the structure of $\mathbf{2}$.

Figure 1. The skeleton of erythrinane 1 and NOESY correlation of the protons in erythrinarbine 2
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In the NOESY, the aromatic proton $\delta 6.67$, acted with $\delta 4.69(\mathrm{H}-9)$ and $2.58,1.82$ $(\mathrm{H}-1)$ is $\mathrm{H}-10$, while the other aromatic proton $\delta 6.60$ is $\mathrm{H}-13$. The signal of $\mathrm{OCH}_{3} \delta 3.87$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ which was acted with $\delta 6.60(\mathrm{H}-13)$ should substitute in $\mathrm{C}-12$. Thus OH which showed a broad signal in $\delta 4.3-5.6\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br, in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and $\delta 8.89\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, in DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$, should substitute in C-11.

As the above data, 2 was characterized as 10-hydroxy-11-methoxy-benzo[g] hexahydroindolizin-3-one, named erythrinarbine. It has a chiral carbon center, C-9. Because of its optical inactive, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}} 0$ (c 2.22, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), it is a racemic modifications of $\mathbf{2 A}$ and 2B as follow.

Figure 2. The structure of erythrinarbine 2
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The EI-MS spectroscopy showed the characteristic fragmental ions at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 233\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 58\right)$, 322 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}-1,100$ ), 218 (3), 217 (9), 216 (8), 202 (7), 190 (3), 189 (1), 188 (2), 177 (8), 176
(11) and 162 (8). These fragmental ions were confirmed by exact mass measurement and elemental composition of the fragments from 2 (see Table 2). The base ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $232.0975\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, 100\right)$ is $\mathrm{M}^{+}-1$, which confirmed the presence of a - $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$-moiety in the ortho position of the atom N . The molecular ion can lost $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CO}$ and get the two fragments, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 218.0779\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ and $190.0857\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ respectively. At the same time, the base fragment ion can lost $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and CO , and also get two fragments, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $217.0737\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ and $189.0794\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$. These proved the presence of $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ on benzene ring. The molecular ion can also lost OH and get the fragment, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 216.1029$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$. It is because the presence of carbonyl group on the cyclic amide, which loses hydroxyl by enolazation. The cyclic-B in the molecular ion breaks and then appears fragment ions m/z 177.0783 and 176.0706 (composition $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ).

Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectral data of compound 2

| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{C} \delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{*}$ | $\mathrm{H} \delta\left(\mathrm{J} \text { in Hz, } \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{*}$ | $\mathrm{H} \delta\left(\mathrm{J}\right.$ in Hz, DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 27.63 | $2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | $2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 15,8.0,1.5)$ |
|  |  | $1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | $1.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 15,12.5,8.0)$ |
| 2 | 31.75 | $2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | $2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| 3 | 173.36 | - | - |
| 5 | 37.20 | $4.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 12.5,6.0,2.0)$ | $4.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 12.5,5.0,3.4)$ |
|  |  | $3.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 12.5,9.4,4.2)$ | $2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 12.5,12.0,7.8)$ |
| 6 | 28.12 | $2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 15.0,9.4,6.0)$ | $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
|  |  | $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 15.0,4.2,2.0)$ | $2.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| 7 | 124.77 | - | - |
| 8 | 130.06 | - | - |
| 9 | 56.54 | $4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7.6)$ | $4.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 8.0)$ |
| 10 | 110.59 | $6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | $6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| 11 | 144.67 | - | - |
| 12 | 145.57 | - | - |
| 13 | 110.97 | $6.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | $6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| OCH | 55.97 | $3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | $3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| OH | - | $4.3-5.6(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad $)$ | $8.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |

*According to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY in 100 and 400 MHz , respectively.

IR $v(\mathrm{KBr}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3400(\mathrm{OH}), 1670(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1620,1575,1520,1460,1440,1292,1262$, 1218, 1110. UV $\lambda_{\text {max }}^{\text {meOH }} 286 \mathrm{~nm}$. Data of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are listed in Table 1, and data of exact MS are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Exact Mass Measurement and Elemental Composition

| Fragment ion $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ | Composition | Fragment ion $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ | Composition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $233.1051(58)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | $190.0857(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| $232.0975(100)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | $189.0794(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| $218.0779(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | $188.0689(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| $217.0737(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | $177.0783(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| $216.1029(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $176.0706(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| $202.0918(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $162.0612(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
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